

something they shouldn't. There is something highly scrotal about the upright figure's forms. Bulging flesh-pink nodes run up and down the sides, with what looks like a teenage boy's rendering of pubic hair lining the edges, and somewhat testicular conkers are included in the palette of materials on one face.

The collaged, layered feeling spreads out across the whole of the installation. All around, cables sprawl and coil, connecting up the speakers and subwoofer that will deliver the aural component of the piece – itself a sound collage incorporating the sonic vocabulary of contemporary pop music alongside layers of Burdis's atonal, jumping song that delivers the narrative. A deep and sustained blast of gut-shaking bass has an inescapable physical effect, while samples of Beyoncé's soulfully delivered honey-soaked R&B vocals add steam to the story. Her sustained 'bo-o-o-y' is reused on several occasions to humorous effect.

Placed on and around the cables and sculptures, gym socks simultaneously suggest healthy lifestyles and pubescent male masturbatory practices. These are the two poles of desire that Burdis seems to oscillate between in his work: the aspirational and the base, banal bodily functions and almost unattainable luxury. The weird and disconcerting future setting that he conjures for these contemporary consumer anxieties and personal dramas adds liveliness and humour to what might otherwise seem like banal source material.

The work is supremely imaginative and playful. Blending dark, dirty and subversive humour with a material exuberance lends the work an affective dimension and a libidinal energy that makes it exciting and compelling to follow. However, the project doesn't feel completely resolved in this instance. This strikes me as a consequence of the nature of the commission – quick turnaround and an open-ended invitation focused on production rather than exhibition. If the gaps and lack of finish are frustrating, that is only because I am left wanting more. I will be looking forward to seeing what comes next from both the artist and the space. ■

TIM DIXON is a writer, curator and researcher based in London.

The Influence of Furniture on Love

Wysing Arts Centre Cambridge 14 September
to 2 November

'The Influence of Furniture on Love', along with the exhibition 'Hey, I'm Mr Poetic', staged at Wysing Arts Centre between April and June this year, are both pauses to reflect on the institution's 35-year history. Although Wysing has an exhibitions and events programme coordinated by in-house curators, and on-site studios and specialist workshops, over the decades a remarkable tally of artists – a veritable who's who – have passed through the retreats and residencies programmes. Wysing's institutional remit of process and production lends it a refreshing autonomy that distinguishes it from other exhibition-oriented venues across the UK. That it is loved and appreciated by artists both regionally and nationally is unsurprising.

Together, these exhibitions represent a selective survey of those who have stayed at the rural Cambridgeshire site over the years. 'Hey, I'm Mr Poetic' was presented in the public gallery, while 'The Influence of Furniture on Love' was staged in Wysing residency artists' lodgings, a 17th-century farmhouse – the first time it has opened to the public. Making the private public, and how to live and work together, are among themes addressed by 'The Influence of Furniture on Love', a title taken by Wysing curator Lotte Juul Peterson and artist-curator Giles Round

from an unpublished essay by the economist John Maynard Keynes. In this essay, held by the University of Cambridge, Keynes discusses whether it is possible for the rooms in which we live to 'suggest to us thoughts and feelings and occupations'.

The farmhouse is an intelligent setting for this exhibition, returning the domestic living place, a scene of sociality – albeit temporary – with the manifest place of work: the gallery. It implies a sinuous network of relations beyond Wysing itself, and that somehow the exhibition's absences, its partiality, linger on. (Concealed at the end of the farmhouse, a house within a house, is the caretaker's residence.)

Included among the 19 exhibiting artists in 'The Influence of Furniture on Love' are co-curator Round, Céline Condorelli, Gil Leung, Philomene Pirecki, Phil Root, Mark Aerial Waller and Neal White, along with two Turner Prize winners: Laure Prouvost and Elizabeth Price. While there is no pretence to representativeness, the selection is weighted towards already-visible, mainly London-based artists, leading me to speculate about those who are absent.

So this speculation on the affective influence of rooms, inhabitants and their objects is a prescient imponderable for viewers of this exhibition. It is also prescient, although I doubt they would couch it in such language, for the Cambridgeshire ghost hunters who, since the exhibition opened to the public, have harried Wysing to spend the night in the farmhouse which, rumour has it, is haunted. Timbers used to build the house's frame are reputedly from ships salvaged following the sinking of the Spanish Armada.

To enter a house is to start on an enforced choreography. At the kitchen entrance, works by four artists – Round, Prouvost, Condorelli and The Grantchester Pottery – frame the continued movement through wonky corridors, modern bathrooms, an 18th-century porch and five bedrooms, offset by black beams and white wattle-and-daub panels. Upon entry, placed proudly on the kitchen table are Grantchester Pottery glazed stoneware objects – cloying, too-tasteful coffee pots, not for handling. But surrounding them are counterpoint works that add complexity to the somewhat fatigued art-craft-design conversation. On a shelf above the stove a small dipped porcelain bowl by Condorelli accompanies a copy of, and a reproduced page from, James Langdon's



'The Influence of Furniture on Love' installation view

book *A School for Design Fiction*, an entreaty for speculative objects, 'in the same register as science fiction', that cannot presently be made.

To the left and right of the work surface, hidden among other domestic appliances, are two 'as found' works by Round: a La Cupola espresso maker and an Ettore kettle. The former, titled *oblique forgetfulness* (For Aldo), 2014, and the latter, titled *fill up forever on 4-star fuel... fill up my veins & set them alight* (for Ettore), 2014, imply a reflexive generational influence, while recalling Ettore Sottsass's responsiveness to the emotional and cultural states of objects he accorded to their functional requirements. The house itself, its structure on show, concertinas time in an ad hoc way that relays and returns between it and the objects on display.

Discreetly, to the side of the kitchen door, is the first of many of Prouvost's 'fake signs' throughout the house, each with their own apparently site-specific text. 'Ideally this room would be loved', reads the kitchen sign, painted in trompe l'oeil to give an illusion of wooden volume. The illusionism of these signs – paint pretends to be wood – is a fascinating counterpoint to the 'as found' literalism of Round's appliances, and the tactile materiality of ceramics. It dramatises the relationship between the old and the new, depth and surface.

This category confusion – one thing as another – is played out to great effect throughout the exhibition, but nowhere more effectively than in Price's *G.U.N.*, 1993. Installed in a large, empty room upstairs, it consists of a blank-firing facsimile gun placed atop a chest of drawers stripped of handles. This is not a defunctionalised chest of drawers, but a hollow fabricated sculpture modelled upon a chest of drawers. Somehow this is more haunting than the implied macabre narrative.

Throughout the farmhouse certain objects have selectively been allowed to remain. Juliette Blightman's radio that periodically plays *Sailing By*, 2010, in the bathroom is accompanied by a coffee cup that could feasibly just be there. I checked the toilet, half expecting it to be dry. In the front room a piano stands beneath framed sheet music in Cally Spooner's *Seven Thirty Till Nine*, 2012. At the exhibition's opening a pianist performed the music, singing 'labour' in place of 'love' each time it occurred. Is the chair by a record player loaded with Seb Patane's sound collage, *Evening* (Featuring James Beckett), 2014, to be sat on? While in one room, William Morris curtains hang unattributed among works by Ruth Beale, Ben Brierley, Root and Waller.

Room 4 was charged with an oneiric quality. A television displaying Waller's episodic dream narrative, *Time Together*, 2013, gazed over a desolate room in which only a stripped wooden-framed bed remained, and, discreetly, a mousetrap. At the head of the bed, Jessie Flood-Paddock's enlarged objects and primitivist totems reigned, while, at the foot, Pirecki had partially painted the wall in machine-mixed and eye-mixed emulsions, as if in a state of un-paintedness.

Among such a quantity of things, a framed newspaper cutting from the *Independent* in 1987, by Beale, takes us back to Keynes's question. The clipping shows a photograph of Edmund and Ruth

Frow in their front room among 15,000 rare books. The couple, a former toolmaker and a retired teacher, are just weeks away from moving to a flat, and their library to the then-new labour movement library in Salford. With the library deposited in a purpose-built space, the couple would return to domestic order. It addresses the politics and aesthetics of placing the private in public display. Who knows what thoughts and feelings presented themselves to the elderly couple, separated from their library? ■

JONATHAN P WATTS is a writer and critic based between London and Norfolk.

London Round-up

Kate MacGarry • Gimpel Fils • Marlborough
Contemporary • Lisson Gallery • Hauser & Wirth

Now that video is everywhere as a matter of routine, why face the contingencies of watching it in a gallery? How much have I missed? Does that matter? How long does it last? Is that too long? Is the sound bleed from the adjoining film a deliberate effect? None of these practicalities have stopped the moving image becoming perhaps the central aspect of the biennale circuit and a staple of the Turner Prize – see three of this year's four contenders. Yet how does a commercial gallery present video to its advantage? Current examples suggest that it is by taking on the qualities of other forms, and avoiding the archetypal lone TV/monitor presentation, that video generates the most successful gallery impact.

At Kate MacGarry, **Ben Rivers** evokes a year's-worth of home movies. A floated screen is set in a room which echoes the dark red colour in Rivers's own Brighton house, where the filming took place – albeit that is just the starting point as, in Rivers's description of his loosely documentary style, 'the editing becomes completely free from the actual'. The footage is grainy, and an analogue whirr, among various scraps of sound, also contradicts the digital newness of the film. The 21 minutes of *Things* divides into seasonal chapters: a winter of blurred landscapes; a spring reading of Robert Pinget's *Fable*; a summer squirrel confronting a lookalike made of coconut; autumn in the house – or, rather, touring a video-game designer's version of the artist's home rendered from thousands of photographs. Ranged about the gallery are some of the drawings and photographs – bequeathed to Rivers by a friend who was the ostensible subject of his 2012 film *Phantoms of a Libertine* – which appear in the film. The whole has an air of intimacy, but also of gentle paradox, such that I began to suspect that Rivers himself was less present in this self-portrait than in the previous depictions of isolated individualists for which he is best known.

Gimpel Fils' presentation of **Guy Ben-Ner's** *Soundtrack*, 2013, is straightforwardly cinematic: one screen, as big as possible, shows the exhibition's one work. That puts all the emphasis on the quality of the filmmaking: a risk, but the Israeli artist delivers, making

Slade Print Fair

**Original editions to support
scholarships for Slade students**

26-28 November 2014

Slade Research Centre, UCL, Woburn Sq, London WC1H 0AB

www.ucl.ac.uk/slade/printfair #SladePrintFair